Amdt. dated June 21, 2004

REMARKS:

Claims 1-9 are currently being examined. Claims 1-6 have not been amended. Claims 7-

9 have been newly added. No claims have been canceled. It is respectfully believed that no new

matter has been introduced.

Before turning to the cited art, a brief review of the present invention is in order. The

present invention provides an auxiliary device module including positioning portions 6 having a

plurality of pressure contact receiving grooves 6b each being pre-formed with complimentary

shape; and wire fixing portions 6h hugging at least one wire 4a, wherein the wire fixing portions

6h extend upwardly from the receiving grooves 6b (see FIG. 6 of the present invention). The

present invention also provides the following features: pillar portion 6i, locking portion 6j,

groove bottom portion 6f, slant portion 6g, and insulation cover 4c (see FIG. 6).

The subject matter set forth in new claims 7-9 is supported by the application as

originally filed (see FIG. 6 and corresponding portions of the specification, for example).

1. CLAIMS 1-3

Claims 1-3 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as obvious over Reference 1 (USP

6,079,848, issued to Ahroni) in view of Reference 2 (USP 5,675,890, issued to Yamamoto).

-6-

OA dated March 29, 2004

Amdt. dated June 21, 2004

Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Ahroni discloses a lamp unit with push-in type bulb holder. Ahroni describes bulb 26,

wireway 20, and base unit 23. Prongs 28a straddle and engage wire 12, and the end portions 28c

bite into the plastic of the base wall of base 23 (FIG. 7; col. 4, lines 46-55). In Ahroni, sharp end

portions 28c bite into the base 23 (see FIG. 7; col. 4, lines 50-55). The Examiner may possibly

be suggesting that hypothetical indentations are formed in base 23 by the sharp end portions 28c

biting into the base 23.

Yamamoto discloses a method of press-connecting electrical wires to a connector, and

describes a press connecting blade 43, pressing protrusions 99, 101, and 103, and press

connecting wall 65 (FIGS. 2A-3B; col. 5, lines 36-49).

The Examiner appears to allege that the above-described hypothetical indentations in base

23 of Ahroni, when combined with Yamamoto, teaches or suggests the "receiving grooves" set

forth in claim 1 of the present invention. However, the above-described hypothetical

indentations in base 23, in view of Ahroni and Yamamoto, fail to describe, teach, or suggest the

receiving grooves as set forth in claim 1, as amended.

Even if one alleges that References 1 and 2 do disclose the claimed receiving grooves,

-7-

OA dated March 29, 2004

Amdt. dated June 21, 2004

however, it is clear that those References definitely do not describe, teach, or suggest the

following features of claim 1:

"wherein said wire fixing portions extend upwardly from the receiving grooves",

in combination with the other claimed features.

The Examiner alleges that **Ahroni's** curved base flanges 36, 36' (see FIGS. 3 and 7)

disclose the wire fixing portions set forth in claim 1 of the present invention.

However, Ahroni's curved base flanges 36, 36', in combination with the teachings of

Yamamoto, fail to teach or suggest "wire fixing portions" extending upwardly from the above-

described hypothetical indentations in Ahroni's base 23. Furthermore, Ahroni in view of

Yamamoto fails to teach or suggest any such features extending upwardly from the above-

described hypothetical indentations in base 23.

The hypothetical indentations do not have "wire fixing portions" which extend upwardly

from the hypothetical indentations. Also, the hypothetical indentations do not have <u>any</u> features

that describe, teach, or suggest the claimed "wire fixing portions" extending upwardly from the

hypothetical indentations.

-8-

OA dated March 29, 2004

Amdt. dated June 21, 2004

Ahroni and Yamamoto, alone or in combination, fail to describe, teach, or suggest the

following features of claim 1: "wherein said wire fixing portions extend upwardly from the

receiving grooves" in combination with the other claimed features.

Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that this rejection should be withdrawn.

2. CLAIMS 4-6

Claims 4-6 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as obvious over Reference 1 (Ahroni) in

view of Reference 2 (Yamamoto) and alleged "Admitted Prior Art" (APA).

Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

The alleged APA relates to an auxiliary unit which may correspond to a camera module.

The alleged APA does not remedy the deficiencies of References 1 and 2 regarding the above-

discussed features of base claim 1.

Ahroni, Yamamoto, and the alleged APA, alone or in combination, fail to describe,

teach, or suggest the following features of base claim 1: "wherein said wire fixing portions

extend upwardly from the receiving grooves" in combination with the other claimed features.

-9-

OA dated March 29, 2004

Amdt. dated June 21, 2004

Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that this rejection should be withdrawn.

3. CLAIMS 7-9

Applicants have presented new claims 7-9 to alternatively and more completely define

Applicants' invention and thereby assist the Examiner by facilitating the examination and

expediting a compact prosecution.

Although the Office Action mailed March 29, 2004 did not address these claims, not

hitherto available to the Examiner, Applicants desire to make a record as to why Ahroni,

Yamamoto, and the alleged APA do not make them obvious, in the interest of speedy and

compact prosecution, and otherwise indicate why they are patentable.

Claim 7 sets forth features including: "An auxiliary device module comprising: ... a

plurality of wire fixing portions hugging the at least one wire; ... wherein positioning portions

having a plurality of pressure contact receiving grooves each being pre-formed with

complimentary shape as the predetermined shape of each of the pair of leading pressure contact

blades so as to accommodate each of the pair of leading pressure contact blades; wherein each of

said receiving grooves is formed with a groove bottom portion joined by two slant portions;

and wherein said wire fixing portions extend upwardly from the slant portions of the

receiving grooves."

-10-

OA dated March 29, 2004

Amdt. dated June 21, 2004

Ahroni, Yamamoto, and the alleged APA, alone or in combination, fail to describe,

teach, or suggest the following features set forth in claim 7: "wherein each of said receiving

grooves is formed with a groove bottom portion joined by two slant portions; and wherein said

wire fixing portions extend upwardly from the slant portions of the receiving grooves" in

combination with the other claimed features.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, claims 1-9 are in

condition for allowance, which action, at an early date, is requested.

In the event that this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an

appropriate extension of time. Please charge any fees for such an extension of time and any other

fees which may be due with respect to this paper, to Deposit Account No. 01-2340.

Respectfully submitted,

ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP

Darren R. Crew

Darren R. Crew

Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 37,806

Atty. Docket No. 011524

Suite 1000,1725 K Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 659-2930

DRC/llf/nk

23850

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

-11-